Public Summary of Associated Kiln Drying (AKD) Softwood Pty Ltd Due Diligence System - 2018

AKD are required to provide to their certification body a written summary of their due diligence system (DDS) with the following information:

Description of Supply Area: AKD have sourced wood from South Australia and Victoria only. The relevant bioregions for 2018 include Naracoorte Coastal Plains, Southern Volcanic Plain, South Eastern Highlands, South East Coastal Plain, and Victorian Midlands. Suppliers include OneFortyOne, GTFP, AKD Softwoods, and other small private plantations harvested under the management control of AKD Softwoods.

<u>Risk Designation</u>: LOW risk for South Australia and Victoria for controlled wood categories 1, 2, 4, 5 and UNSPECIFIED risk for category 3 where high conservation values are threatened by management activities.

Applicable Risk Assessment: Australia has one risk assessment referred to as an 'old' National Risk Assessment FSC-CWRA-001-AUS v1 2009. This is available through the FSC Australia website.

In addition, all organisations must align their DDS to Category 1 (illegally harvested wood) of FSC-CNRA-AUv1.0 (draft May 2017) in accordance with ADV-40-005-21.

<u>AKD Risk Assessment</u> – AKD are required to undertake a risk assessment to assess the risk of material being mixed with unacceptable sources (controlled wood categories) in the supply chains during transport, processing and storage. Table 1 illustrates this risk assessment for suppliers and sub-suppliers.

| Process | Risk | Risk Mitigation Measures | Evidence |
|--|------|--|--|
| Transport of logs directly from forest (AKD, GTFP, OFO) | LOW | all roundwood loads delivered to Colac are transported directly from the forest and hauled to the AKD Mill. | Delivery Dockets with required information. |
| | | every harvest operation is authorised by AKD through the notification or issuance of a Harvest Operation Number (or equivalent). This identifies the contractors, the plantation, and the certification status. field verification audits at supply unit. | 5.16b FSC AKD Field Verification Audit_Supply |
| Entry to the AKD Mills | LOW | trucks can only enter the Colac and Irrewarra Mill via the weighbridge. upon entry to the weighbridge, the truck driver must enter details into the weighbridge computer, including the Harvest Operation Number (or equivalent). | Delivery Dockets with required information. Cross checking weighbridge data with hard copies. |
| Processing and storage at the AKD mills | LOW | only processing single plantation species. producing sawn timber-species easily identified. | Weighbridge procedure. |

Table 1- Mixing risk assessment

| Transport to Schiedl Mill (CHH, OFO) Processing and storage at Schiedl | LOW Potential (if supplier has not informed of changes that impact AKD's DDS) | site controls and weighbridge procedures. logs are delivered directly from the forest and are supplied with delivery dockets/e-dockets. only processing single plantation species producing sawn timber-species easily identified site controls and weighbridge procedures field verification audit of supplier premises undertaken by AKD | E-dockets 5.16c Field Verification Audit_Supplier Premises |
|--|--|---|--|
| Transport from Schiedl to AKD | LOW | under the control of AKD all loads accompanied by transport documents | Transport documentation Invoices |
| Transport of material between AKD and outsourcing facility | LOW | under the control of AKD accompanied by dispatch documentation | Dispatch documentation |
| Processing and storage at outsourcing facilities | Potential (if not following outsourcing procedures) | packs remain together packs are checked and tracked into outsourcing facility and back into AKD outsourcing field audits | Timbersmart tracking 5.16d Field Verification Audit_Outsourcing |
| Purchase of HVP logs from Australian Paper holding yard | Potential (if other undeclared suppliers are in holding yard) | Only holding HVP timber which is FSC100% | 5.16c Field Verification Audit_Supplier Premises To ensure only HVP logs are in the yard and no risk of mixing. |

<u>Procedure for Filing Complaints:</u> complaints regarding AKD's due diligence can be made to Neil Harris – AKD's Resources Manager at <u>Neil.Harris@akd.com.au</u>. Stakeholders shall include as much information regarding the nature of the complaint so that AKD is able to assess and respond. All complaints are handled according to AKD's *Complaints Handling Procedure*, which is available upon request and provided to a complainant as a matter of course.

<u>Control Measures</u>: As South Australia and Victoria have unspecified risk for controlled wood category 3, AKD must implement risk mitigation measures. Table 2 is a summary of the control measures by risk assessment indicator.

 Table 2 Risk Controls for Category 3-High Conservation Values are threatened by management activities.

| Risk Assessment Indicator (from Annex A FSC-STD-40-005) | Control Measure in Place | Desired Outcome |
|--|--|--|
| 3.1 Forest management activities in the relevant level (eco-region, sub- eco region, local) do not threaten eco-regionally significant high conservation values. Identifying and assessing HCVs. | AKD only sources plantation radiata pine so there is no risk that HCVs are being directly harvested. | AKD only accept radiata pine – an exotic planted species. |
| | 2. HCV Systems Audit to determine measures in place to identify and protect HCV. | The supplier has a good system for identifying and protecting HCVs. |
| | 3. HCV Assessments. | HCV Assessments provide confidence in the identification of HCVs at the SU level. |
| 3.2 A strong system of protection (effective protected areas and legislation) is in place that ensures survival of the HCVs at the ecoregion. Strong stakeholder support | 1.AKD only sources plantation radiata pine so there is no risk that HCVs are being directly harvested. | AKD only accept radiata pine – an exotic planted species. |
| | 2.Field Audits of Supply Units. | Field audits confirm identification of HCV 1 in-field and strong system of protection (e.g. machinery/vehicle exclusion from HCV areas; no refuelling close to native vegetation; no damage to retained native vegetation, fire suppression equipment). |
| | 3. Harvest Plans. | All operations have a Timber Harvest Plan which identify HCVs and measures to protect them. |
| | 4. Harvesting Checklists. | Harvesting inspections identify non- compliances in a timely manner. |
| | 5.Stakeholder consultation | Annual stakeholder consultations continue to confirm that management activities and AKD control measures are adequate at ensuring the long term survival of HCVs at the ecoregion scale. |

<u>Stakeholder Consultation</u>: AKD have undertaken a third round of stakeholder consultation in relation to controlled wood category 3. This involved identifying relevant stakeholders and inviting them to complete a questionnaire. The consultation relates to the forest management activities of its suppliers for 2018/2019 for the source areas of South Australia and Victoria. Stakeholders have 6

weeks to respond, which expired on the 30th November. Please contact Neil Harris if you would like to be involved but were not emailed a Stakeholder Letter.

The comments received and AKD's reply will be updated into this document once the consultation process has concluded.

Expert Engagement: AKD engaged Sue Harris, AKD's environment consultant, to review AKD's control measures in 2016. Sue's qualifications include a Bachelor Forest Science and over 30 years' experience in environmental and forest science roles. Sue was engaged to provide her opinion on the adequacy of the control measures that AKD is implementing to mitigate the risk of sourcing material from category 3. Sue concluded that the controls and processes in place are sufficient and adequate for avoiding forest material from areas where high conservation values are being threatened by management activities. AKD have not altered their control measure during 2018 and therefore have not required another expert review.

<u>Verification Audits</u>: AKD have undertaken 5 field verification audits audit in 2018. The table below summarises the more significant issues identified and the corrective action/s implemented:

Table 3 Issues identified during field audits

| Issue identified | Corrective Action |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| No erosion mitigation measures were | Suggest using erosion mitigation measures |
| employed for a steep slope | (observation) |

Appendix 1 – Stakeholder contacted

FSC Australia

info@au.fsc.org

Global Mark Australia

Customer.Service@global-mark.com.au

Environment

Sue Harris Environmental Consultant Corangamite CMA Department of Environment and Natural Resources Nature Glenelg Trust Trust for Nature Environment Protection Authority Native Vegetation Council South East Natural Resource Management Board Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning VicForests

Economic

Fennell Forestry Clearwater Harvesting and Transport Pty Ltd HVP Plantations Green Triangle Forest Products Australian Bluegum Plantations One Forty One Plantations ForestrySA AFPA – Australian Forest Products Association FWPA- Forest Wood Products Australia TTIA – Timber Trade Industrial Association

<u>Social</u>

South East Cave Explorers Burrandies Aboriginal Corporation CFMEU Private neighbour

Government

Glenelg Shire City of Mount Gambier District Council of Grant ABARES

Research

Melbourne University